

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,171	09/29/2003	Takeshi Ueno	CU-3375 BWH	1950
7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER		
Richard J. Streit			HESS, BRUCE H	
Ladas & Parry Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
224 South Michigan Avenue			1774	
Chicago, IL 60604			DATE MAILED: 04/19/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,171	UENO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce H. Hess	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		_	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.
Status	- 1	1 -(.)	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	2-4-0> (Hmen	amenty	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	,	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims 1 2			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application of Claim(s) is/are pending in the application of Claim(s).	ation	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without state applied		· · ·	
	: ·		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		V ₁₁ 1 1 1 1	· · · · ·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
<u> </u>		·	
Application Papers	10	7 .	•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• •		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ion priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight phoney under oo o.o.o.	3 1 10(4) (4) 61 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/674,171

Art Unit: 1774

Page 2

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 5.763,356 or claims 1 and 3 of US Patent No. 6,364,943. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in these patents are drawn to thermal transfer image receiving sheets comprising a substrate, an intermediate layer. The intermediate layer is formed from resin, a white pigment and a fluorescent brightener. Since these claims must be interpreted in light of the specification, one of ordinary skill in this art would look to the specification to determine what resins are suitable. Chlorinated polypropylene is disclosed as a desirable resin for use in the intermediate layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,171

Art Unit: 1774

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (571) 272-1525.

B. Hess/dh April 11, 2005

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300